

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 527 (1997) 137-143



### Formation and chemistry of the transient 17-electron compounds $CpFe(CO)L^{-}(L = PMe_2Ph, PPh_3)$

Inga Kuksis, Micheal C. Baird \*

Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont. K7L 3N6, Canada

Received 7 May 1996; revised 25 June 1996

#### Abstract

Hydride hydrogen atom abstraction from the 18-electron compounds  $CpFe(CO)LH (L = PMe_2Ph, PPh_3)$  by the trityl radical results in formation of the 17-electron species  $CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)$  and  $(CpFe(CO)PPh_3)$ . These compounds are not stable, however, undergoing rearrangement and coupling reactions to give, ultimately, the 18-electron compounds  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PMe_2Ph$  and  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PMe_3Ph_3$  respectively. The transient, 17-electron species may be trapped by organic halides RX, which transfer halogen atoms to give the compounds CpFe(CO)LX.

Keywords: Iron; 17-Electrons; Radicals

#### **1. Introduction**

The distinct and diverse chemistry of 17-electron, metal-centered radicals continues to be of interest, as reports of syntheses of persistent radicals and investigations of their often characteristic chemical properties continue to appear [1]. Indeed, 17-electron compounds may be synthesized in a variety of ways [1], and are often stabilized with respect to dimerization to the 18-electron, metal-metal bonded analogs by substitution of small ligands by more sterically demanding ligands (e.g. CO by tertiary phosphines) [2]. As part of the development of methodologies for the syntheses of novel 17-electron species, we [3-5] and others [6-10] have demonstrated the utility of persistent triarylmethyl radicals Ar<sub>3</sub>C<sup>+</sup> to abstract hydrogen atoms from 18-electron, transition metal hydride complexes MHL, and form the corresponding 17-electron compounds ML', (Eq. (1)).

$$MHL_{n} + Ar_{3}C \rightarrow ML_{n} + Ar_{3}CH$$
(1)

In the absence of suitable radical traps, the species  $ML_n$  may couple and the organometallic products obtained are often the 18-electron, metal-metal bonded dimers  $[ML_n]_2$ . Transient species may also be identified

by trapping experiments, of which halogen abstraction reactions from organic halides have been found to be particularly useful (Eq. (2)) [3,11].

$$ML_{n} + RX \rightarrow MXL_{n} + R^{\prime}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

However, in those cases where radical coupling is not feasible for steric reasons, the chemistry of Eq. (1) provides a very convenient route to new 17-electron compounds which may be isolated if dimerization is precluded by coordination of appropriately bulky ligands.

As part of a general program to gain better understanding of the factors governing stabilities of 17-electron complexes, we have been attempting to prepare persistent, 17-electron compounds of the type  $\eta^5$ -Cp'Fe(CO)L (Cp' = Cp, substituted Cp; L = bulky phosphines) [4,12,13]. These are analogs of the very unstable species CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>, which has been generated photochemically from the corresponding dimer but which is exceedingly reactive and recombines rapidly to form the corresponding 18-electron dimer (Eq. (3)) [14,15].

$$\left[ CpFe(CO)_2 \right]_2 \stackrel{h\nu}{\rightleftharpoons} 2CpFe(CO)_2$$
(3)

In attempts to prepare derivatives of  $CpFe(CO)_2$ , we have met with some success by employing pentaarylcyclopentadienyl ligands, and have demonstrated that the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>0022-328</sup>X/97/\$17.00 © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved. PII S0022-328X(96)06623-5

18-electron compounds  $[\eta^5 - C_5 Ph_5 Fe(CO)_2]_2$  and  $[\eta^5 - C_5 Ph_4(p-tolyl)]Fe(CO)_2]_2$  dissociate spontaneously in solution at ambient temperature to give measurable concentrations of the corresponding 17-electron monomers [4,13]. A complementary approach would be to employ sterically more demanding tertiary phosphine ligands and, as the di-iron compound [CpFe(CO)(PPh\_3)]\_2, for instance, cannot be made directly from [CpFe(CO)\_2]\_2 and PPh\_3 [16,17], it was anticipated that CpFe(CO)PPh\_3 would be too sterically encumbered to dimerize if formed via hydrogen atom abstraction as in Eq. (4).

 $CpFe(CO)LH + Ar_3C \rightarrow CpFe(CO)L + Ar_3CH$  (4) L = PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph,PPh<sub>3</sub>

We now discuss experiments which show that the 17-electron compounds CpFe(CO)L (L = PPh<sub>3</sub> (A) PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph (B)) may readily be formed from the corresponding hydrides CpFe(CO)LH (Eq. (4)), but only as transients en route to the di-iron compounds  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3L$ . Aspects of this work have been reported [4].

#### 2. Experimental

Experiments were conducted under an inert atmosphere of oxygen-free nitrogen, further purified through columns containing heated BASF catalyst and molecular sieves. Manipulations of air-sensitive materials followed standard Schlenk line techniques and included the use of a Vacuum Atmospheres Glove Box. The solvents benzene, toluene, tetrahydrofuran, hexanes and diethyl ether were dried and distilled over alkali metals,  $CH_2Cl_2$  was dried and distilled over CaH<sub>2</sub>. Solvents were thoroughly deoxygenated prior to use by saturation with N<sub>2</sub> or repeated freeze-thaw cycles. Chromato-

Table 1 IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data

graphic separations were typically carried out in a coldwater jacketed column using alumina or silica gel. Chemicals were obtained from Aldrich, BDH, Fischer, Strem and Fluka and were used as-received.

IR spectra were acquired on Bruker 85 IFS FT-IR and Bruker IFS 25 FT-IR spectrometers; IR data are presented in Table 1. NMR spectra were run on Bruker ACF 200 (200.1 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 50.3 MHz <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}) and AM 400 (400.1 MHz <sup>1</sup>H, 100.6 MHz <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}) NMR spectrometers. The residual proton and the carbon resonances of deuterated solvents served as internal references for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C resonances respectively. <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were run on an AM 400 (162 MHz) NMR spectrometer, and were referenced to external 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Elemental analyses for carbon and hydrogen were carried out by Canadian Microanalytical Services, Delta, British Columbia.

Solutions containing the trityl dimer-monomer equilibrium were prepared by the zinc reduction of Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl [3–10] in benzene, and all syntheses and manipulations involving trityl radical-dimer were conducted in the absence of light. CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H and CpFe(CO)(PMe, Ph)H were prepared via procedures reported previously for the latter [18]. The yellow, solid CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H was characterized spectroscopically. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $C_6 D_6$ ):  $\delta$  7.65 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.02 (m, 9H, Ph), 4.26 (s, 5H, Cp), -12.8 (d,  $J_{PH}$  78.9 Hz, 1H, Fe-H) [19-21]. IR (benzene):  $\nu_{CO}$  1925 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The compound CpFe(CO)(PMe, Ph)H was isolated as an oil and characterized spectroscopically [18-21]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(C_6 D_6)$ :  $\delta$  7.49–7.42 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.14–7.01 (m, 4H, Ph), 4.20 (s, 5H, Cp), 1.29 (d, J<sub>PH</sub> 2.9 Hz, 3H, PMe), 1.27 (d,  $J_{PH}$  2.8 Hz, 3H, PMe), -13.91 (d,  $J_{PH}$  79.6 Hz, 1H, Fe-H). <sup>13</sup>C(<sup>1</sup>H) NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  220.9 (d,  $J_{PC}$ 28 Hz, CO), 142.5 (d, J<sub>PC</sub> 37, ipso-C, aryl), 130.1 (d, J<sub>PC</sub> 10Hz, Ph), 129.1 (Ph), 128.3 (Ph, second resonance partially obscured by solvent resonances), 79.3 (Cp C),

Compound	$\nu_{\rm CO}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	<sup>1</sup> H Chemical Shifts (δ)
CpFe(CO)(PPh3)H	1925 (benzene), 1930, 1885 (Nujol)	4.26 (s, Cp), $-12.8$ (d, $J_{put} = 78.9$ Hz, Fe-H)
CpFe(CO)(PPh <sub>3</sub> )Cl	1959 (CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> ), 1958 (CCl <sub>4</sub> )	4.13 (s, Cp)
$Cp_2 Fe_2(CO)_3 PPh_3$	1950 (br,s), 1772 (w), 1723 (s) (CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> ) 1953 (m), 1929 (m), 1731 (s) (benzene)	4.22 (s, Cp)
CpFe(CO), H	2015, ~ 1960 (benzene)	
CpFe(COXPMe, Ph)H	1914, 1875 sh (benzene)	4.20 (s. Cp), 1.29 (d. Jan 2.9 Hz. PMe).
	1930, 1885 sh (Nujol)	1.27 (d, J <sub>PH</sub> 2.8 Hz, P–Me),
CpFe(CO)(PMe_Ph)Cl	1952 (henzene), 1956 (CC1, ), 1952 (#-RuC1)	- 13.91 (d, J <sub>PH</sub> /9.0Hz, Fe-H)
CpFe(CO)(PMe2Ph)Br	1946 (benzene)	3.99 (s, Cp), 1.69 (d, J <sub>PH</sub> 10.4 Hz, PMe),
a-r-laavau avu		1.35 (d, J <sub>PH</sub> 9.6 Hz, PMe)
Cpre(COAPMe <sub>2</sub> Ph)	1943 (benzene)	3.94 (s, Cp), 1.73 (d, J <sub>PH</sub> 10 Hz, PMe),
$C_{\mu} E_{\lambda}(C_{\mu}) = C_{\mu}$	2036 1026 0 · · · · ·	1.43 (d, J <sub>PH</sub> 9.2 Hz, PMe)
Cpracon Ci	2030, 1990 (Denzene)	
Cpre(CO)21	2043, 2000 (CHCl <sub>3</sub> )	

22.3 (d,  $J_{PC}$  16 Hz, PMe), 21.9 (d,  $J_{PC}$  15 Hz, PMe). IR (benzene): 1917, 1873 cm<sup>-1</sup> (sh).

### 2.1. Hydrogen atom abstraction reactions of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H

A trityl solution was generated by the reaction of 1.56 g  $(2.39 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol})$  Zn and 2.01 g  $(7.21 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol})$  $10^{-3}$  mol) Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl in 90 ml benzene over 18 h, and 60 ml of this solution were added to a yellow solution of  $0.51 \text{ g} (1.24 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}) \text{ CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H}$  in 20 ml benzene. After 30 min, the reaction vessel was placed in an ice bath and stirring was continued for 60 min as the solution turned dark green. IR bands were observed at 1912 (m) and  $1732 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (m). The solvent was then removed in vacuo, the residue was redissolved in 10 ml of toluene and transferred to a water-jacketed ( $T \leq$ 284K) chromatographic column packed with silica gel and equilibrated with hexanes. A yellow band containing trityl materials was eluted with a toluene-hexanes solution (2:1 v/v), a green band with pure toluene. The latter eluate was collected in a flask cooled in an ice bath, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the dried solid was identified as the known  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$ [16,17,22,23]. Yield 48%. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1950 (br,s), 1772 (w), 1723 cm<sup>-1</sup> (s); lit. (benzene): 1950, 1930,  $1732 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  ca. 4.22 (br, Cp). A second green band, containing CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl, was eluted with THF. IR  $(CH_2Cl_2)$ : 1959 cm<sup>-1</sup>; lit. 1960 cm<sup>-1</sup> [16,24]. Confirmation of this assignment was made by the synthesis of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl through the reaction of  $CpFe(CO)(PPh_1)H$  with  $CCl_4$ [16], yielding the expected product with an IR band at 1958 cm<sup>-1</sup> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

Black crystals of  $Cp_2 Fe_2(CO)_3 PPh_3$  [16,22,23] were also inadvertently obtained during synthesis of  $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H$ ; a dark green  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution of the product exhibited IR bands at 1948 (br,s), 1764 (w) and 1723 cm<sup>-1</sup> (s). In benzene, bands were observed at 1953 (m), 1929 (m) and 1731 cm<sup>-1</sup> (s). Elemental analyses of the compound with half a mole of hexane were satisfactory. Anal. Found: C, 64.11; H, 4.30.  $C_{34}H_{32}Fe_2O_3P$ . Calc.: C, 64.69; H, 4.57%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  7.83 (m, Ph), 7.06–6.93 (m, Ph), 4.21 (br, Cp). Hexanes resonances were present at  $\delta$  1.25 (br, m), 0.88 (t).

For purposes of comparison,  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  was also prepared, as described in the literature, by refluxing a solution of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_4$  and PPh<sub>3</sub> in benzene [16,17]. The  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  derived in this way was identical (IR, <sup>1</sup>H NMR) to the materials described above. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1948 (br), 1768 (w), 1723 cm<sup>-1</sup> (s).

# 2.1.1. Reaction of $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H$ with trityl in the presence of $Ph_3CCl$

A mixture of 1.39 g ( $4.99 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}$ ) Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl and 1.05 g ( $1.61 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol}$ ) Zn in benzene was stirred for

19h, and then filtered into a flask containing 0.35 g(1.26×10<sup>-3</sup> mol) Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl. A solution of 0.50 g (1.21 ×10<sup>-3</sup> mol) CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H in 10 ml benzene was then added, and the mixture was stirred for 5.5 h. The solvent was removed from the mossy-green solution, and CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl was established as the major product present. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1958 cm<sup>-1</sup> [24]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  4.13 (s, Cp).

#### 2.1.2. Reaction of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H and Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl

A solution of 0.050 g  $(1.21 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$ CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H, in 10 ml of benzene was treated with 0.036 g  $(1.29 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$  Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl. After 80 min, the solution was still yellow and the CO band in the IR spectrum of the hydride was observed at 1924 cm<sup>-1</sup>. After 2h, the solution was greenish yellow, the CO band at 1924 cm<sup>-1</sup> had decreased in intensity and new bands were present at 2049 (w), 2003 (w), 1952 (s) and 1716 cm<sup>-1</sup>. No starting material was present after 4.5 h, and the IR spectrum of the now green solution exhibited CO bands at 2036 (br,w), 1996 (br,w), ~ 1963 (sh) and 1952 cm<sup>-1</sup> (m).

# 2.1.3. Reaction of $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H$ and trityl dimer in $CH_2Cl_2$

A solution of 0.082 g  $(1.99 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$ CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H in 20 ml CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, exhibiting a strong CO band in the IR spectrum at 1921 cm<sup>-1</sup>, was cannulated onto 0.261 g  $(5.36 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$  trityl dimer in a separate flask. Immediately after addition, an IR spectrum exhibited a strong band at 1959 cm<sup>-1</sup> and showed that the band at 1921 cm<sup>-1</sup> had decreased significantly. The reaction mixture was then cooled in an ice bath and, after 3 h, there was observed a strong band at 1960 cm<sup>-1</sup>, attributable to CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl [24], but no bands attributable to either CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H or Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>.

### 2.1.4. Reaction of $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H$ with trityl in the presence of PMe, Ph

A solution of 0.203 g (0.493  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol) CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H and 69  $\mu$ l (0.485 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol) PMe, Ph in 10 ml benzene, cooled in an ice bath, was treated with a solution of trityl in benzene. Within 11 min, an IR spectrum exhibited only a single band, at 1918 cm<sup>-1</sup>, attributable to CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H (1917 cm<sup>-1</sup> in THF [16]). On removal of the solvent, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum ( $C_6D_6$ ) of the crude product exhibited Cp resonances at  $\delta$  4.26 and 4.20 [16–21], indicating a ca. 1:1 ratio of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H and CpFe(CO)(PMe, Ph)H, and weak, unidentified Cp resonances at  $\delta$  4.48, 4.00 and 3.96. The methyl resonance of CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H was observed at  $\delta$  1.28 (d,  $J_{\rm PC}$  8.4 Hz), and additional doublet peaks were observed at  $\delta$  1.10 (d,  $J_{\rm PH}$  7.61 Hz) and 0.97 (d,  $J_{\rm PH}$ 8.01 Hz). Hydride resonances were also observed at  $\delta - 12.8$  (d, Fe-H of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H), -13.9 (d, Fe-H of CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H) [22,23], -13.34 (t) and -14.32 (dd).

#### 2.1.5. Reaction of $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H$ with CO

A solution of 0.252 g  $(6.11 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$ CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H in 20 ml benzene was wrapped in Al foil and CO was bubbled through the solution for 4.5 min. After the CO purge, a very strong band at 2015 cm<sup>-1</sup>, attributable to CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>H [21], was observed in the IR spectrum (the second band was obscured by a benzene band), and the intensity of the band of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H (1925 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was reduced significantly. Significant decomposition of CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>H had not occurred after 2h of stirring; a weak band at 1780 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicated only a small amount of decomposition to [CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> [23].

#### 2.1.6. Reaction of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>1</sub>)H with PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph

A solution of 0.20 g  $(4.86 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$ CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H in benzene was treated with  $86 \mu \text{l}$  $(6.04 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$  PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph. Although there was little change in the IR spectrum after 5 h, a <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) spectrum of the reaction mixture exhibited the Cp reson an c e s of C p F e (C O) (P P h<sub>3</sub>) H and CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H, in a 2:1 ratio, at  $\delta$  4.25 (s, ) and 4.20 (d,  $J_{PC}$  1.6 Hz, [16–21]). The corresponding hydride resonances were observed at  $\delta$  – 12.8 (d, Fe–H) and = 13.9 (d, Fe–H) respectively.

### 2.2. Hydrogen atom abstraction reactions of CpFe(CO)(PMe, Ph)H

A solution of 0.1 g  $(2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$  trityl dimer in 10 ml of toluene was combined with 0.110 g  $(3.78 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$  CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H in 10 ml toluene  $(\nu_{CO} 1918 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ . Within 10 min the solution changed from yellow to dark green, and the IR spectrum exhibited CO bands at 1919 (br), 1736, 1732 and 1692 cm<sup>-1</sup>. After 2 h, the IR spectrum of the green solution exhibited CO bands at 1919 (br), ~ 1930 (sh), 1736 and 1732 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The bands at ca. 1930 (sh), 1736 and 1732 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to the di-iron complex Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph) ([16,17,22,23] see below).

### 2.2.1. Reaction of CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H with trityl in the presence of MeI

A solution of 0.24 g  $(8.33 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$ CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H in 10 ml benzene was treated with 161 µl (2.59 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol) MeI in 5 ml of benzene. The yellow solution turned green within 1 min, and an IR spectrum run at this time exhibited only a strong band at 1943 cm<sup>-1</sup>, attributed to CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)I (1938 cm<sup>-1</sup> in CHCl<sub>3</sub> [24]). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  d 3.94 (d,  $J_{PC}$  1.4 Hz, Cp), 1.73 (d,  $J_{PH}$  10.0 Hz, PMe), 1.43 (d,  $J_{PH}$  9.2 Hz, PMe).

### 2.2.2. Reactions of $CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)H$ with EtI and $PhCH_2Br$

A solution of 0.075 g  $(2.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$ CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H in 10ml of benzene was treated with 40 µl  $(5.00 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$  of EtI. The solution changed from yellow to dark green within 5 min and the reaction was complete within 30 min, at which time a strong band at 1943 cm<sup>-1</sup>, attributable to CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)I, was observed in the IR spectrum. Similar results were obtained with PhCH<sub>2</sub>Br, the solution changing from yellow to green within 1 min and the final product being identified as CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)Br. IR (benzene): 1946 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  3.99 (s, Cp), 1.69 (d,  $J_{PH}$  10.4Hz, PMe), 1.35 (d,  $J_{PH}$  9.6Hz, PMe).

## 2.2.3. Reaction of $CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)H$ with trityl in the presence of n-BuCl

A solution of 0.17 g  $(5.90 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$ CpFe(CO)(PMe, Ph)H and  $91.5 \mu l$  (8.76 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol) n-BuCl in 16 ml benzene was treated with 0.86 g (1.77  $\times 10^{-3}$  mol) trityl dimer in 50 ml benzene. An IR spectrum exhibited a strong broad band in the region  $1932-1917 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , a broad shoulder in the region 1889-1869 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and a bridging CO band at 1733 cm<sup>-1</sup>. After ca. 4.5 h, the solvent was removed and the residue was redissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1934 (m, br), 1736 (w), 1727 cm<sup>-1</sup> (w). Chromatographic separation of the reaction mixture was accomplished on a column packed with alumina and equilibrated with hexanes. Toluene as eluent removed a yellow solution containing no carbonyl species, diethyl ether a green band. Removal of ethyl ether solvent and redissolution in toluene showed this product, probably Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph, to have strong bands at 1932 and 1734 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(C_6 D_6)$ :  $\delta$  7.42–6.97 (m, Ph), 4.47 (Cp), 4.00, (d,  $J_{PH}$ 1.6 Hz, Cp) and 0.93 (d,  $J_{PH}$  9.2 Hz, PMe). Repetition of this reaction in *n*-BuCl resulted in significant formation of CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)Cl ( $\nu_{CO}$  1951 cm<sup>-1</sup>; 1956 cm<sup>-1</sup> in hexadecane [18]). The assignment was confirmed by the reaction of CpFe(CO)(PMe, Ph)H with CCl<sub>4</sub>, which yielded green CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)Cl (IR  $1956 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in CCl<sub>4</sub>,  $1952 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in *n*-BuCl).

## 2.2.4. Attempted reaction of CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub> Ph)H with n-BuCl

A solution of 0.016 g  $(5.55 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol})$ CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H in 10ml benzene was treated with 172  $\mu$ l (1.65  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol) *n*-BuCl in 5 ml benzene. There was no reaction after 1 h (IR). The IR spectra recorded showed only the hydride band at 1916 cm<sup>-1</sup> with its 1870 cm<sup>-1</sup> shoulder. An additional 160 ml *n*-BuCl were added. Approximately 10min later, the IR spectrum showed that the hydride was still the only carbonyl-containing product present.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Hydrogen atom abstraction from CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H by the trityl radical yields the green, monosubstituted di-iron compound Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub> [16,17,22,23] and triphenylmethane (Eq. (5)).

$$CpFe(CO)(PPh_{3})H + Ph_{3}C^{-}$$
  

$$\rightarrow Cp_{2}Fe_{2}(CO)_{3}PPh_{3} + Ph_{3}CH$$
(5)

The IR spectrum of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  in benzene exhibited a characteristic bridging carbonyl band at  $1732 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , in agreement with the literature [16,17,22,23]. In CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, bands indicative of a mixture of cis and trans isomers in solution [16,17] were observed at 1950, 1772 and 1723 cm<sup>-1</sup>, comparing well with the IR spectrum of an authentic sample of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  prepared via thermolysis of  $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$  in the presence of PPh, [17]. With serendipity, solvated but analytically pure crystals of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  were also obtained during a synthesis of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H. White [17] has reported that the compound  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  is unstable over long periods of time as a solid, and decomposes within hours in solution at room temperature in the absence of excess phosphine. Such instability was not observed in these studies, although precautions were taken to purify and store the material at low temperatures.  $Cp_{2}Fe_{2}(CO)_{1}PPh_{1}$  can also be synthesized photochemically via substitution of  $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$  with PPh<sub>3</sub> [22,23].

Neither the radical CpFe(CO)PPh<sub>3</sub> nor the anticipated disubstituted dimer [CpFe(CO)PPh<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> were observed in the final products. Dimerization was possibly inhibited because of steric constraints; but, it is nonetheless clear that the iron radical did form as a transient species, as hydrogen atom abstraction is implicit in the method employed, and the expected by-product, triphenylmethane, was observed. The formation of Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub> is probably a consequence of coupling of the initially formed monosubstituted radical with the dicarbonyl radical, CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>, formed by substitution of CpFe(CO)PPh<sub>3</sub> by CO released during partial decomposition of CpFe(CO)PPh<sub>3</sub> (Eqs. (6)-(9)).

$$CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H + Ph_3C$$

 $\rightarrow CpFe(CO)PPh_{3}^{\prime} + Ph_{3}CH$  (6)

 $CpFe(CO)PPh_{3} \rightarrow CO + decomposition products$  (7)

$$CpFe(CO)PPh_3 + CO \rightarrow CpFe(CO)_2 + PPh_3$$
 (8)

$$CpFe(CO)PPh_3 + CpFe(CO)_2 \rightarrow Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$$
(9)

An analogous mechanism was proposed to explain the formation of the monosubstituted binietallic species  $Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_5PPh_3$  following hydrogen atom abstraction from CpMo(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H [3]. However, when the hydrogen abstraction reaction is carried out in the presence of unreduced Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl, some CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl forms as a consequence of halogen abstraction from the organic halide (Eq. (10)).

$$CpFe(CO)PPh_{3} + Ph_{3}CCl$$
  

$$\rightarrow CpFe(CO)(PPh_{3})Cl + Ph_{3}C^{-1}$$
(10)

Similar reactions have been observed in other systems employing trityl radical solutions [3,8]. In this case, a control experiment demonstrated that the direct reaction of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H with Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl to form CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl proceeds relatively slowly.

The chloro compound  $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)Cl$  was readily identified spectroscopically, and is also formed on hydrogen atom abstraction from  $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H$  by trityl in  $CH_2Cl_2$ . In the latter reaction, the source of the chlorine atom was the solvent.

Complementing this work, it has been reported that while irradiation of  $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$  in the presence of 0.1 M PPh<sub>3</sub> and 0.1 M CCl<sub>4</sub> results in the formation of CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl instead of Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>, use of 0.1 M *n*-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>I instead of CCl<sub>4</sub> results in the formation of Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub> as the major product, with only a minor amount of CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>I [23]. Thus the proclivity of metal-centered radicals to contemplate coupling rather than trapping pathways depends greatly on the relative efficiencies of the two processes.

To further illustrate that  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  was the product of radical coupling, as in Eqs. (6)-(9), a reaction of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H with a deficiency of trityl was carried out in the presence of added PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph. Substitution reactions of  $CpFe(CO)_2 \cdot$  with small phosphines are rapid [15], and it was anticipated that the added PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph would intercept any CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub> that might be generated. Certainly the dicarbonyl radical would react more readily with the small phosphine than with CpFe(CO)PPh<sub>3</sub>, thereby retarding the formation of the di-iron complex Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>. Although a control experiment showed that direct substitution of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H with PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph to form CpFe(CO)(PMe, Ph)H does occur relatively slowly, there was a significant increase in the amount of CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H formed in the presence of trityl radical, approximately equal amounts of the two monosubstituted di-iron compounds being formed. Interestingly, weaker hydride resonances in the 'H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture suggested that the bis(phosphine) hydrides CpFe(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>H ( $\delta$  - 13.34 (t)) and  $CpFe(PMe_2Ph)(PPh_3)H (-14.32 (dd))$  were also formed (Eq. (11)), evidence for great lability in substituted iron radicals.

 $CpFe(CO)(PPh_{3})H + PMe_{2}Ph + Ph_{3}C$  $\rightarrow Ph_{3}CH + CpFe(CO)(PPh_{3})H$ 

+ 
$$CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)H$$
  
+  $CpFe(PMe_2Ph)_2H$   
+  $CpFe(PPh_3)(PMe_2Ph)H$  (11)

We wished to assess the role of free CO, suggested by Eq. (8), and it was anticipated that hydrogen abstraction in the presence of excess CO would increase the rate of formation of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  by facilitating Eq. (12). However, it was found in a control experiment that  $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H$  undergoes rapid, direct substitution of PPh<sub>3</sub> under a CO atmosphere to give  $CpFe(CO)_2H$  (Eq. (12)).

$$CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)H + CO \rightarrow CpFe(CO)_2H + PPh_3$$
(12)

Stabilization of  $CpFe(CO)_2H$  with respect to decomposition to  $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$  by use of thiophenol and other additives has been described [21], but the similar use of CO has not been previously noted.

Although CpFe(CO)PPh<sub>3</sub> is not persistent and could not be isolated, the formation of Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>, the halide abstraction reactions observed, and the reactions with added phosphine provide conclusive evidence that CpFe(CO)PPh<sub>3</sub> had in fact been generated by hydrogen atom abstraction. Bogdan et al. [19] also found support for radical formation based on the production of Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>, among other products, in the reaction of Mn<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> with CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H, thermally dissociated Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub> causing hydrogen atom abstraction from the iron hydride.

While the IR spectra of all samples of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$ , prepared via several routes, agreed with literature data for this compound, all of the samples prepared in this work exhibited a broad Cp resonance at  $\delta 4.22$  in  $C_6D_6$  ( $\delta 4.16$  in toluene- $d_8$ ), and we believe that the broadened singlet and the doublet at  $\delta 4.57$  and 4.52 which have previously been reported for this compound in toluene- $d_8$  [17] may be in error. As for the source of the broadening, it was initially thought that the broadening of the Cp resonance might arise from a di-iron compound-radical exchange (Eq. (13)), which would cause averaging of the cyclopentadienyl signals.

$$Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3 \rightleftharpoons CpFe(CO)_2 + CpFe(CO)PPh_3$$
(13)

If such an equilibrium were involved, reaction of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  with MeI would result in iodine atom abstraction by the two metal-centered radicals and the formation of some or all of the complexes  $CpFe(CO)_2I$ ,  $CpFe(CO)_2Me$ ,  $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)I$  and  $CpFe(CO)(PPh_3)Me$  [1]. However, these products were not observed when  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  was exposed to MeI for 4 h, and the dissociative equilibrium of Eq. (13) does not apply.

Instead, it seems likely that the broadened Cp signal observed in the NMR spectrum of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  is an averaged resonance resulting from facile exchange of the four Cp rings of the cis and trans isomers of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  [16,17]. [CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> has been reported to exist as cis and trans isomers in non-polar solvents, with less than 1% of an unbridged isomer [26,27]. A variable-temperature 'H NMR study of  $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$  has shown that the Cp singlet observed at room temperature for this compound decoalesces below 225 K to two resonances of essentially the same intensity at lower temperatures [27]. In a variable-temperature 'H NMR study of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  in toluene- $d_8$ we similarly found that the broad Cp resonance at  $\delta$  ca. 4.2 at 298 K decoalesced to four distinct resonances at  $\delta$ 3.83, 4.08, 4.27 and 4.41 between 270 and 298 K, the spectral changes being completely reversible. The temperature dependence of the 'H NMR spectrum of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PPh_3$  is being investigated further.

Generation of CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph) via hydrogen atom abstraction from CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H with trityl dimer in benzene resulted in formation of the green di-iron compound Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph. The CO stretching bands of this compound, at ca. 1930, 1736 and 1732 cm<sup>-1</sup>, are similar to those of similar monophosphine di-iron complexes [16,17,22,23], and the presence of two bridging CO bands may imply the presence of cis and trans isomers [22,23]. In contrast to the broad Cp resonance observed for Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>, the room temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph exhibited two distinct Cp resonances at  $\delta$  4.00 (d, J<sub>PH</sub> 1.6Hz) and 4.47 (s).

We had intended to carry out trityl hydrogen atom abstraction reactions of CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H in the presence of organic halides, as described above with CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)H. It was anticipated that the organic halides would behave as radical traps, the anticipated iron-centered radical reacting as in Eq. (2). Unfortunately, a preliminary investigation showed that CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H itself reacts rapidly with MeI, EtI and PhCH<sub>2</sub>Br in benzene, even in the absence of trityl radical, forming CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)I [24] or CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)Br (cf. CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)Cl, IR: 1956cm<sup>-1</sup> (hexadecane) [18]) (Eq. (14)), the anticipated products of the planned radical trapping experiments.

$$CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)H + RX$$
  

$$\rightarrow CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)X$$
(14)

$$RX = Mel, Etl, PhCH_2Br$$

However CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)H was found not to react with *n*-butyl chloride, presumably because of its relatively high carbon-halogen bond strength [28], and we therefore assessed the possibility of using this alkyl halide as a trap for the CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sup>-</sup> radical, generated via trityl abstraction reactions with  $CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)H$ . Interestingly, the reaction of  $CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)H$  with trityl in the presence of *n*-butyl chloride in benzene resulted in the formation only of  $Cp_2Fe_2(CO)_3PMe_2Ph$ , identified spectroscopically. Clearly ligand rearrangement and coupling of the metal-centered radicals so generated (Eqs. (6)–(9)) was far more favorable than halogen abstraction from *n*-butyl chloride. However, hydrogen atom abstraction from  $CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)H$  conducted in *n*-butyl chloride as solvent did result in the formation of  $CpFe(CO)(PMe_2Ph)Cl$ .

During the course of the reaction in *n*-butyl chloride, an ephemeral band was observed at  $1910 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , very similar to the CO bands of CpFe(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Me (1905 cm<sup>-1</sup> in CHCl<sub>3</sub> [24]). On this basis, the intermediate is tentatively suggested to be CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)(*n*-Bu) formed by coupling of CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph) and the *r* utyl radical and possibly providing further evidence for chlorine atom abstraction from *n*-butyl chloride by CpFe(CO)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada (Research Grant to M.C.B.), Alcan (Fellowship to I.K.) and Queen's University (scholarships to I.K.) for financial support.

#### References

- (a) M.C. Baird, Chem. Rev., 88 (1988) 1217. (b) W.C. Trogler (ed.), Organometallic Radical Processes, J. Organomet. Chem. Library, Vol. 22, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1990. (c) A.E. Stiegman and D.R. Tyler, Comments Inorg. Chem., 5 (1986) 215. (d) D.R. Tyler, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 36 (1988) 125.
- [2] (a) L.-Y. Goh, M.J. D'Aniello, S. Slater, E.L. Muetterties, I. Tavanaiepour, M.I. Chang, M.F. Frederich and V.W. Day, *Inorg. Chem.*, 18 (1979) 192. (b) S. Fortier, M.C. Baird, K.F. Preston, J.R. Morton, T. Ziegler, T.J. Jaeger, W.C. Watkins, J.H. MacNeil, K.A. Watson, K. Hensel, Y. Le Page, J.-P. Charland and A.J. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 113 (1991) 542. (c) S.B. McCullen and T.L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 7496. (d) D.R. Kidd, C.P. Cheng and T.L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1982) 7496. (d) D.R. Kidd, C.P. Cheng and T.L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 7496. (d) D.R. Kidd, C.P. Cheng and T.L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1978) 4103. (e) H.W. Walker, G.B. Rattinger, R.L. Belford and T.L. Brown. Organometallics, 2 (1983) 775. (f) R.S. Herrick, T.R. Herrinton, H.W. Walker and T.L. Brown, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 42. (g) J.M. Hanckel, K.-W. Lee, P. Rushman and T.L. Brown, Inorg. Chem., 25

(1986) 1852. (h) N.A. Cooley, K.A. Watson, S. Fortier and M.C. Baird, *Organometallics*, 5 (1986) 2563. (i) N.A. Cooley, P.T.F. MacConnachie and M.C. Baird, *Polyhedron*, 7 (1988) 1965. (j) W.C. Watkins, T. Jaeger, C.E. Kidd, S. Fortier, M.C. Baird, G. Kiss, G.C. Roper and C.D. Hoff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, *114* (1992) 907.

- [3] P.R. Drake and M.C. Baird, J. Organomet. Chem., 363 (1989) 131.
- [4] I. Kuksis and M.C. Baird, Organometallics, 13 (1994) 1551.
- [5] M.D. Koeslag and M.C. Baird, Organometallics, 13 (1994) 11.
- [6] R.M. Bullock, Comm. Inorg. Chem., 12 (1991) 1.
- [7] F. Ungváry and L. Markó, J. Organomet. Chem., 193 (1980) 383.
- [8] N.N. Turaki and J.M. Huggins, Organometallics, 5 (1986) 1703.
- [9] D.C. Eisenberg and J.R. Norton, Isr. J. Chem., 31 (1991) 55.
- [10] D.C. Eisenberg, C.J.C. Lawrie, A.E. Moody and J.R. Norton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 113 (1991) 4888.
- [11] T.A. Huber, D.H. Macartney and M.C. Baird, Organometallics, 14 (1995) 592 and references cited therein.
- [12] I. Kuksis and M.C. Baird, J. Organomet. Chem., 512 (1996) 253.
- [13] I. Kuksis and M.C. Baird, Organometallics, in press.
- [14] (a) B.D. Moore, M.B. Simpson, M. Poliakoff and J.J. Turner, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1984) 972. (b) B.D. Moore, M. Poliakoff and J.J. Turner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1936) 1819.
  (c) P.E. Bloyce, A.K. Campen, R.H. Hooker, A.J. Rest, N.R. Thomas, T.E. Bitterwolf and J.E. Shade, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1990) 2833. (d) S. Zhang and T.L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 115 (1993) 1779.
- [15] A.J. Dixon, M.W. George, C. Hughes, M. Poliakoff and J.J. Turner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 114 (1992) 1719.
- [16] R.J. Haines and A.L. DuPreez, Chem. Commun., (1968) 1513.
- [17] (a) A.J. White, J. Organomet. Chem., 168 (1979) 197. (b) R.J.
   Haines and A.L. DuPreez, Inorg. Chem., 18 (1969) 1459.
- [18] P. Kalck and R. Poilblanc, C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. C:, 274 (1972) 66.
- [19] P.L. Bogdan, A. Wong and J.D. Atwood, J. Organomet. Chem., 229 (1982) 185.
- [20] J.A. Van Doorn, C. Masters and H.C. Volger, J. Organomet. Chem., 105 (1976) 245.
- [21] T.A. Shackleton, C.C. Mackie, S.B. Fergusson, L.J. Johnston and M.C. Baird, Organometallics, 9 (1990) 2248.
- [22] S. Zhang and T.L. Brown, Organometallics, 11 (1992) 4166.
- [23] H.B. Abrahamson, M.C. Palazzotto, C.L. Reichel and M.S. Wrighton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 4123.
- [24] P.M. Treichel, R.L. Shubkin, K.W. Barnett and D. Reichard, Inorg. Chem., 5 (1966) 1177.
- [25] C.A. Tolman, Chem. Rev., 77 (1977) 313.
- [26] (a) R.D. Fischer, A. Vogler and K. Noack, J. Organomet. Chem., 7 (1967) 135. (b) A.R. Manning, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 1319. (c) J.G. Bullitt, F.A. Cotton and T.J. Marks. Inorg. Chem., 11 (1972) 671. (d) K. Noack, J. Organomet. Chem., 7 (1967) 151. (e) J.G. Bullitt, F.A. Cotton and T.J. Marks, J. Am. Chem., Soc., 92, (1970) 2155.
- [27] F.A. Cotton, L. Kruczynski and A.J. White, Inorg. Chem., 13 (1974) 1402 and references cited therein.
- [28] K.W. Egger and A.T. Cocks, Helv. Chim. Acta. 56 (1973) 1516.